

Record of Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Education of Lisle Community Unit School District 202, DuPage County Illinois, which was called to order in the Board Room of the Administrative Office, 5211 Center Avenue, Lisle, Illinois on January 10, 2011.

The meeting was called to order at 6:01p.m. by President Ahlmann.

Present: Kari Altpeter
Janna Sampson (arrived at 6:32)
Pam Ahlmann
Pat Sarb
Joan Costin
Amy Narot
Bob Tarasewicz

Also Present: Dr. Patricia Wernet, Superintendent
Keith Filipiak, Assistant Superintendent for Business Affairs

Adjourn to Closed Session

At 6:02 p.m., motion by Costin, seconded by Altpeter,
TO ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION FOR THE PURPOSES OF DISCUSSION OF THE
SETTING OF A PRICE FOR SALE OR LEASE OF PROPERTY; THE APPOINTMENT,
EMPLOYMENT, COMPENSATION, DISCIPLINE, OR DISMISSAL OF EMPLOYEES.
The motion carried with a roll call vote of 6-0.

Return to Open Session

At 6:51p.m., motion by Ahlmann, seconded by Sarb,
TO RETURN TO OPEN SESSION.
The motion carried with a voice vote of 7-0.

Also present: Administrator Gordon
Parents from the District

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Audience to Visitors

There were no requests to speak from the audience.

Discussion of School Improvement Presentation Format

Areas of Discussion: 1) Agreements on SIP presentation format 2) Did the BOE receive SIP information in presentations as agreed? 3) Are there any alterations, deletions, or amendments needed?

Previous Agreements:

- Use IIRC website for available demographics & academic data/bar graphs
- 5 Year Trend Data-Profile; Mobility Rate, Truancy, Subgroups as reported by IIRC
- State Assessment Data by Grade and Cohort (by academic area only in 2011)

- Consistent District Comparisons of surrounding districts/unit districts/conference districts: Naperville 203 and Indian Prairie 204, Downers Grove 58 and 99 , Woodridge 68, Glen Ellyn 41, and Westmont 201
- Executive Summary outlining goals, celebrations, and areas of focus

Discussion:

- Handout of August 2 was developed by administration and presented to BOE to serve as a guide for 2010 SIP building presentations.
- Wheaton was added to a SIP presentation. This district was one used in negotiations which included 4 school districts; Westmont 201, Naperville 203, Indian Prairie 204 Wheaton/Warrenville 200
- Woodridge 68 is only elementary and could be eliminated from SIP
- Use the district average of elementary comparison school districts and the average of high school comparison school districts. Use average of comparison school district as opposed to comparing to individual schools, such as Kennedy
- Consider map of boundary districts, although district such as Glen Ellyn 41 could be eliminated from SIP
- Consider comparison data to unit districts typical to Lisle 202
- Consider Downers Grove 58
- Use district comparison data charts as presented on IIRC website with comparison of districts' average by grade for ISAT and PSAE. ACT also to be reported using agreed comparison districts
- Possible future changes in cut score will effect how data is compared from previous year yet comparison with other districts can demonstrate overall drop in scores not seen if present district data in isolation
- Not to limit comparison of district to level of state performance data
- Grades 3-8 trend data would be duplicated by both Elementary and LJH SIP presentations
- All SIP presentations use the same composite format with a district presentation first
- Each building to recap areas district not making AYP and reflect in SIP goals
- Prefer to use summary tab from IIRC for reporting
- SIP goals to be written as a SMART goal
- Discussion of subjects other than Reading and Math. Addition of more data not favored.
- Next meeting review school SIP presentation format and district-level reporting in addition to policy review.

Summary of Meeting Agreements:

1. Utilize following five districts to report IIRC district comparison data: Naperville D203, Indian Prairie D204, Downers D58/D99, Westmont D201, add Wheaton Warrenville D200 (Eliminate Woodridge and Glen Ellyn)
 - a. Report district composite in a comparison of Lisle D202 to the five selected comparison districts using a percentage format
 - b. For the comparison districts, report the total number of students enrolled
 - c. For high school, report PSAE and ACT results in comparison of designated high school districts

2. Numbered pages on SIP Presentation
3. All SIP presentations use the same IIRC summary format for all subject areas; test results in percentage format with number of students indicated for grades 3 through 5 and 6 through 8 not reported by individual grade level
4. SIP goals clearly indicate a reference/data source as to whether IIRC AYP reporting data or IIRC all students test results data was utilized
5. In instances of not making AYP or subgroups close to not making AYP, a clear indication of the use of Confidence Interval/Safe Harbor utilized reported on same page as data presented
6. Report building and district subgroups as presented by IIRC (>10 available) rather than manually computed
7. Report IIRC combined summary of data for each building by subgroup not reported by individual grade level
8. If available, report energy use chart for each school (as LSHS)
9. District- level AYP and ISBE Illinois Report Card shared with BOE (not included in building SIP presentations), and will be made available to BOE before placed on district website. Administration to share/highlight significant focus areas on Report Card with BOE(such as truancy rate district-wide)
10. Eliminate report of AYP ladder from SIP reporting.
11. Consistent reporting between data and goals to indicate use of tenth of percentage or to whole number percentage
12. Continue staff training on the writing of SIP goals in SMART goal format
13. SIP goals to clarify with a brief description of data parameters/population used to set targets (ie. goal is based upon students enrolled during the current school year as in JH use of 5th grade Spring data, not use of 8th grade data)
14. Advance questions submitted by BOE prior to presentation. Acknowledge additional questions may occur during presentation and as needed may need to go back for more information

Motion to Adjourn

At 8:44, motion by Tarasewicz, seconded by Sarb,
 THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNED.

Motion carried with a voice vote of 7-0.

ATTEST:

 President

 Secretary